		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	n/	10/625,512	INOUE, YOSHIFU	IML
	' y	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jeffrey B. Robertson	1712	
All Participants: Status of Application:				
(1) <u>Jeffrey B. Robertson</u> .		(3)		
(2) Frederick D. Vastine.		(4)		
Date of Interview: 9 January 2006		Time: <u>10:20 am</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: n/a.				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: 25				
Prior art documents discussed: none				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The examiner indicated that in view of the proposed amendment after-final, that claim 25 was not further limiting of claim 19. Mr. Vastine agreed to cancel claim 25 and change the dependency of claim 26 to claim 19.				
Part III.				
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A <sub>I</sub>	pplicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appro	priate)